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1. The topological entropy of a diffeomorphism of a compact manifold is always finite. It follows from the rough estimation [1] which is similar to the earlier estimation of the metric entropy of a diffeomorphism with respect to a smooth invariant measure [2]. Later an exact formula was proved in this case ([3]; the estimation from above belongs to G. A. Margulis). We prove a refined estimation from above for the topological entropy which is similar to the estimation of Margulis. Expressing the right-hand part of our estimation in terms of differential forms we get a relation between the topological entropy and spectral properties of the operator induced by a diffeomorphism in a space of differential forms.
2. Let $M$ be a compact metric space, $T: M \rightarrow M$ a homeomorphism of $M$ onto itself. Background material about the topological entropy can be found in [4]. We shall indicate several simple facts concerning the notion of conditional topological entropy which is introduced by M. Misiurewicz [5].

Definition. Let $A, B$ be two open cover of the space $M$. The conditional topological entropy $h(A / B)$ of the cover $A$ relative to the cover $B$ is defined by the formula

$$
h(A / B)=\max _{B \in B} \log \left(N_{A}(B)\right)
$$

where $N_{A}(B)$ is the minimal number of elements of $A$ which cover the ejement $B \in B$.

PROPOSITION 1. Suppose that $B<\mathcal{C}$, i.e., each element of $\mathcal{C}$ is contained in an element of $B$. Then $h(A / B) \geq n(A / C)$.

PROPOSITION 2. $h(A \vee B) \leq h(B)+h(A / B)$.

PROOF: Let us denote the minimal number of elements of a subcover of the cover $A$ by $N_{A}$. Further, let $B^{\prime}$ be a subcover of $B$, which contains exactly $N_{B}$ elements. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
N_{A \vee B} \leq \sum_{B \in B} N_{A}(B) \leq N_{B} \max _{B \in B} N_{A}(B) \text { and } \\
h(A \vee B)=\log N_{A \vee B} \leq \log N_{B}+\log \max _{B \in B} N_{A}(B)=h(B)+h(A / B) .
\end{gathered}
$$

PROPOSITION 3. $h(T, A) \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} h\left(T^{n} A / A V \ldots V T^{n-1} A\right)$.
PROOF: Let us apply the previous proposition $n$ times to the cover $A v \ldots \mathrm{~T}^{\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{A}$. We have

$$
h\left(A \vee T A V \ldots V T^{n} A\right) \leq h(A)+h(T A / A)+\ldots+h\left(T^{n} A / A V \ldots V T^{n-1} A\right)
$$

By the definition of the topological entropy and proposition 1

$$
\begin{aligned}
h(T, A)=\overline{l i m}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h\left(A v \ldots v T^{n} A\right)}{n} & \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} h\left(T^{i} A / A \vee \ldots v T^{i-1} A\right)}{n}= \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} h\left(T^{n} A / A v \ldots v T^{n-1} A\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

PROPOSITION 4. $h(T, A) \leq h(T A / A)$.
PROOF: $h\left(T^{i} A / A V \ldots V T^{i-1} A\right)=h\left(T A / A V T^{-1} A V \ldots V T^{-(i-1)} A\right) \leq h(T A / A)$.
('The last inequality follows from proposition 1). Combining this inequality with porposition 3 we obtain

$$
h(T, A) \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(T^{n} A / A V \ldots V T^{n-1} A\right) \leq h(T A / A) .
$$

3. Let $M$ be an m-dimensional smooth compact Riemannian manifold and $T: M \rightarrow M$ be a $C^{l}$ diffeomorphism.

THEOREM. $\quad h(T) \leq \log \max _{x \in M} \max _{L \in T_{x}}\left|J\left(D T_{x} \mid L\right)\right|=a(T)$,
where $J$ means the Jacobian and the inner maximum is taken over the set of all linear subspaces of the tangent space $T X_{x}$.

PROOF OF THE THEOREM: It is known [4] that $h(T)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left(T, A_{k}\right)$
if $A_{k}$ is an exhaustive sequence of covers i.e., the maximal diameter of elements of the covers $A_{k}$ tends to zero as $k \rightarrow \infty$. We shall consider a special exhaustive sequence of covers having bounded multiplicities.

Let us choose a positive number $\varepsilon_{0}$ and a finite set of points $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s} M$ such that the mappings $\exp _{x_{i}}, i=1, \ldots, s$ are injective on $\varepsilon_{0}$-balls and the images of these balls cover $M$. Denote the maximal multiplicity of this cover by N. Let $\delta>0$ be so small that the images of $\left(\varepsilon_{0}-\delta\right)-b a l l s$ still cover $M$. Let us denote by $D_{y}(v, r)$ the ball in the tangent space $T y$ of radius $r$ about $v \in \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{y}}^{\mathrm{M}}$. Let us fix a sequence of positive numbers $\delta_{\mathrm{k}} \rightarrow 0$, $\delta_{k}<\frac{\delta}{8}$ and cover each of the balls $D_{x_{i}}\left(0, \varepsilon_{0}-\delta\right), i=1, \ldots, s$ by a system of $\delta_{k}$-balls with the maximal multiplicity bounded by a number $R$ which does not depend on $k$ and $i$. The images of these $\delta_{k}$-balls under the action of the corresponding mappings $\exp _{x_{i}}$ form the cover $A_{k}$ of $M$ with the maximal multiplicity bounded by the number $C=N R$.

For every element $A \in A_{k}$ there exist $i \in\{l, \ldots, s\}$ and a tangent vector $v \in T_{X_{i}} M$ such that $\left(\exp _{x_{i}}\right)^{-l_{A}}=D_{X_{i}}\left(v, \delta_{k}\right)$. Let $\exp _{X_{i}} v=x_{A}$. Suppose that the number $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ is chosen so small that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{x_{A}}\left(0, \frac{\delta_{k}}{2}\right) \subset\left(\exp _{x_{A}}\right)^{-1} A \subset D_{x_{A}}\left(0,2 \delta_{k}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

LEMMA. There exists a constant $C$ ' such that for every integer $n$ there exists a positive integer $k(n)$ such that for $k>k(n)$

$$
h\left(A_{k} / T^{n} A_{k}\right) \leq \alpha\left(T^{n}\right)+C^{\prime}
$$

PROOF OF THE LEMMA: Let us fix an integer $n$ and choose $k$ to provide the mapping $\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{n}}$ be sufficiently close to a linear mapping on each element $A$ of the cover $A_{k}$. To be more precise, let us consider a set $A$ and the corresponding point $x_{A}$. Condition (1) implies that:

$$
\left(\exp _{T^{n}} x_{A}\right)^{-1} B \subset\left(\exp _{T} n_{x_{A}}\right)^{-1} T^{n} \exp _{x_{A}}\left(D_{x_{A}}\left(0,2 \delta_{k}\right)\right) \text { where } B=T^{n} A
$$

We can choose the number $k$ so large (and consequently $\delta_{k}$ so small) that

$$
\left(\exp _{T^{n}} x_{A}\right)^{-1} T^{n} \exp _{x_{A}}\left(D_{x_{A}}\left(0,2 \delta_{k}\right)\right) \subset D^{1} D_{x_{A}}\left(0,3 \delta_{k}\right)
$$

whence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\exp _{\mathrm{T}^{n} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{A}}}\right)^{-1} \mathrm{~B} \subset \mathrm{DT}^{n^{D_{X}}}\left(0,3 \delta_{k}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Besides that, we shall claim the diameter of the set $D^{n} D_{X_{A}}\left(0,3 \delta_{k}\right)$ to be less than $\frac{\delta}{2}$. Condition (2) expresses our demand to the mapping $D T^{n}$ to be close to a linear map. Let us estimate now $h\left(A_{k} / T^{n} A_{k}\right)=\max _{B \in T^{n} A_{k}} \log N_{A_{k}}(B)$. Denote by $B^{\prime}$ the set $\exp _{T} n_{x_{A}} U_{2} \delta_{k}\left(\left(\exp _{T} n_{x}\right)^{-1} B\right)$ where $U_{\alpha}(E)$ is an $\alpha$-neighborhood of the set $E$. By (2) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\exp _{T^{n} x_{A}}\right)^{-1} B^{\prime}=U_{2 \delta_{k}}\left(\left(\exp _{T^{n}} x_{A}\right)^{-1} B_{B}\right) \subset U_{2 \delta_{k}}\left(D T^{n_{D_{A}}}\left(0,3 \delta_{k}\right)\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the diameter of the set at the right hand part of this formula is less than $\delta$.

Let $A^{\prime}=\left\{A^{\prime} \in A_{k}, A^{\prime} \cap B \neq \varnothing\right\}$. Obviously the inclusion $A^{\prime} \in A^{\prime}$ implies that $A^{\prime} \subset B^{\prime}$. Consequently,

$$
A^{\prime} \sum_{\in A^{\prime}} v\left(A^{\prime}\right) \leq C V\left(B^{\prime}\right)
$$

where $v$ is a Riemannian volume on $M$ and $C$ is the maximal multiplicity of the cover $A_{k}$. Thus

$$
N_{A_{k}}(B) \leq\left|A^{\prime}\right| \leq C \frac{V\left(B^{\prime}\right)}{\min ^{\prime} \in A^{\prime}}
$$

Compactness of $M$ and our choice of the number $\varepsilon_{0}$ guarantee that the ratio $\frac{V\left(A^{\prime}\right)}{v\left(A^{\prime \prime}\right)}$ for every two elements $A^{\prime}, A^{\prime \prime} \in A_{k}$ bounded from positive constant. In particular $v\left(A^{\prime}\right)>C_{1} v(A)$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{A_{k}}(B) \leq \frac{C}{C_{1}} \cdot \frac{v\left(B^{\prime}\right)}{v(A)} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we shall estimate the volume $v\left(B^{\prime}\right)$. Let $\sigma: T_{x_{A}} M \rightarrow T_{T} n_{X_{A}} M$ be an isometry. Then $v=D T^{n} \cdot \sigma^{-1}: T_{x_{A}} M \rightarrow T_{x_{A}} M$ is a linear operator in $T_{x_{A}} M$. It can be represented in the form $V=U \cdot S$ where $U$ is an isometric operator and $S$ is a positively definite symmetric operator with eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m}$, where $\lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{2} \ldots \lambda_{1}>I \geq$ $\geq \lambda_{1+1} \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_{m}$. Condition (3) shows that there exist constants $c_{2}, c_{3}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
v\left(B^{\prime}\right) \leq c_{2} \bar{v}\left(\left(\exp _{T^{n}} X_{A}\right)^{-l_{B}}\right) \leq c_{3}\left(3 \delta_{k}\right)^{m} \prod_{i=1}^{m}\left(\frac{2}{3}+\lambda_{i}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{v}$ is a volume in a tangent space. On the other hand

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(A) \geq C_{4} \bar{v}\left(\left(\exp _{x_{A}}\right)^{-1} A\right) \geq C_{5} \delta_{k}^{m} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining inequalities (4), (5), (6) we get an estimation for $N_{A_{k}}(B):$

$$
N_{A_{k}}(B) \leq C_{6} \prod_{i=1}^{m}\left(\frac{2}{3}+\lambda_{i}\right) \leq c_{7} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i}
$$

The value $\prod_{i=1}^{1} \lambda_{i}$ is equal to $\left|J\left(\left.D^{n} x\right|_{L}\right)\right|$ where $L$ is a
subspace of $T_{n} x$ generated by the eigenvectors of $S$ with eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{1}$. Thus we obtain the estimation

$$
h\left(A_{k} / T^{n} A_{k}\right) \leq \log \max _{x \in M} \max _{L \subset T_{x}}\left|J\left(\left.D T_{x}^{n}\right|_{L}\right)\right|+\log C_{7} .
$$

Lemma is proved.

Now we can finish the proof of the theorem. Let us fix a positive integer $n$ and $\varepsilon>0$ and choose $k$ according to the lemma. Moreover, $k$ can be chosen so large that

$$
n h(T)=h\left(T^{n}\right)<h\left(T^{n}, A_{k}\right)+\varepsilon .
$$

Proposition 4.1 implies that
$h\left(T^{n}, A_{k}\right)=h\left(T^{-n}, A_{k}\right) \leq h\left(T^{-n} A_{k} / A_{k}\right)=h\left(A_{k} / T^{n} A_{k}\right)$, so that $n h(T)<h\left(A_{k} / T^{n} A_{k}\right)+\varepsilon$. By the lemma we have $h\left(A_{k} / T^{n} A_{k}\right) \leq a\left(T^{n}\right)+C^{\prime}$. But $a\left(T^{n}\right) \leq n a(T)$, that $h(T) \leq a(T)+\frac{C^{\prime}+\varepsilon}{n}$. Since $n$ can be chosen arbitrary large, $h(T) \leq \alpha(T)$. The theorem is proved.
4. Let us denote by $\Omega^{k}(M)$ the space of all continuous realvalued differential antisymmetric $k$-forms on $M$ with the norm

$$
\|\omega\|=\max _{x \in M} \max _{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k} \in T_{x} M}\left|\omega\left(v_{1} \cdots v_{k}\right)\right|
$$

The diffeomorphism $T$ induces a linear operator $T_{k}^{\#}: \Omega^{k}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{k}(M)$. Let us denote the direct sum $\underset{0}{\stackrel{m}{\oplus}} \Omega^{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{M})$ by $\Omega(\mathrm{M})$ and $\underset{\underset{0}{\oplus} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\#}}{ }$ by $\mathrm{T}^{\#}$. The proof of the following proposition is routine.

PROPOSITION 5. $\quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha\left(T^{n}\right)}{n}=\log s\left(T^{\#}\right)$ where $s\left(T^{\#}\right)$ is a spectral radius of the operator $T^{\#}$. The next fact follows immediately from our Theorem and proposition 5.

COROLLARY. $n(T) \leq \log s\left(T^{\#}\right)$.

Remark. K. Krzyzewski has generalized our result from diffeomorphisms to arbitrary $C^{l}$ mappings of smooth manifolds. His proof used the definition of the topological entropy through $\varepsilon$-separated sets (see [4]).
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