

# Finite spanning sets for cusp forms and a related geometric result

By *Svetlana Katok*<sup>1)</sup> at Santa Cruz

## 1. Introduction

The two main results of this paper are united by the same method of proof. Our first result is concerned with cusp forms on Fuchsian groups. Let  $\Gamma$  be a cocompact Fuchsian group acting on the upper half-plane  $\mathcal{H}$ , i.e. a discrete subgroup of  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$  with  $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H}$  compact. We study the sequence of finite dimensional vector spaces  $\{S_{2k}(\Gamma), k = 2, 3, \dots\}$  of holomorphic cusp forms of weight  $2k$  on  $\Gamma$ . For each conjugacy class of hyperbolic (i.e. diagonalizable over  $\mathbb{R}$ ) elements in  $\Gamma$ , denoted by  $[\gamma]$ ,  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , there exists a special cusp form  $\Theta_{k, [\gamma]} \in S_{2k}(\Gamma)$ . Since conjugacy classes of primitive hyperbolic elements in  $\Gamma$  are in one-to-one correspondence with oriented (primitive) closed geodesics in  $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H}$ , we call these cusp forms *relative Poincaré series associated to closed geodesics*. They have been described by Petersson and studied by complex analysts as well as by number theorists. For bibliographical remarks and properties of relative Poincaré series see [5]. In [5] we proved that the set of *all* relative Poincaré series span  $S_{2k}(\Gamma)$  (Theorem 1). Goldman and Millson [3] specified a *finite* set of relative Poincaré series which span  $S_{2k}(\Gamma)$ . In this paper we use completely different methods to obtain a similar result, but with a better estimate on the number of relative Poincaré series needed.

**Theorem 1.** *For any  $\alpha > 0$  there exists a constant  $C_0(\alpha) > 0$  independent on  $k$  such that the set  $\{\Theta_{k, [\gamma]}, \text{length } [\gamma] \leq C_0(\alpha)k^{8+\alpha}\}$  spans  $S_{2k}(\Gamma)$ .*

**Remark.** The Selberg trace formula [4] implies that the number of closed geodesics of length  $\leq T$  grows with  $T$  as  $\exp(T - \ln T)$ . Thus our estimate for the number of relative Poincaré series which span  $S_{2k}(\Gamma)$  is  $\exp(Ck^{8+\alpha} - \ln Ck^{8+\alpha})$ , compared to  $\exp((2^{2k-1} - 1) - \ln(2^{2k-1} - 1))$  in [3]. Obviously both estimates greatly exceed the dimension of  $S_{2k}(\Gamma)$  which grows linearly with  $k$ . However, obtaining a considerably better estimate seems to be beyond the reach of existing methods.

Our second result is a “finite version” of the following theorem which is a trivial consequence of Theorem 3.6 by Guillemin and Kazhdan [2]:

<sup>1)</sup> Supported by NSF Postdoctoral Fellowship.

**Theorem 2.** *If a smooth function  $f$  on a compact negatively curved surface has zero integrals over all closed geodesics, then  $f = 0$ .*

Let  $M$  be a compact negatively curved surface, and  $SM$  be its unit tangent bundle. We consider the space  $L^2(SM)$  equipped with the scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ , and we denote the corresponding norm in  $L^2(SM)$  by  $\|\cdot\|$ . In this paper  $C$  with various subscripts denotes positive constants which may depend on the surface  $M$ . The dependence on a parameter, if any, is specified. We now can state our result.

**Theorem 3.** *Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , for any  $\alpha > 0$ , there exists a constant  $C_1(\alpha)$  such that if a function  $f \in C^2(M)$  with  $\|f\|_{C^2} = 1$  has zero integrals over all closed geodesics of length  $\leq C_1(\alpha)\varepsilon^{-2-\alpha}$ , then  $\|f\| \leq \varepsilon$ .*

### 2. Geometric preliminaries

Let  $M$  be a compact negatively curved surface,  $SM$  be its unit tangent bundle, and  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$  be the infinitesimal generator of the action of  $SO(2)$  on  $SM$ .  $L^2(SM)$  can be decomposed as a direct sum

$$(2.1) \quad L^2(SM) = \bigoplus_{-\infty}^{\infty} H_m,$$

where  $H_m$  is the eigenspace of the differential operator  $\mathcal{D}_\theta = -\sqrt{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$  with the eigenvalue  $m$ :

$$(2.2) \quad H_m = \{F \in L^2(SM) \mid \mathcal{D}_\theta F = mF\}.$$

Let  $\{\psi^t\}$  be the geodesic flow on  $SM$ , and  $\mathcal{D}$  be the operator of differentiation along the orbits of  $\{\psi^t\}$ . It is defined on a dense set of functions differentiable along the orbits of  $\{\psi^t\}$ , and is skew-self-adjoint:  $\mathcal{D}^* = -\mathcal{D}$ , since the geodesic flow  $\{\psi^t\}$  preserves the volume form on  $SM$ . We will need the following properties of  $\mathcal{D}$  (cf. e.g. [2]):

$$(2.3) \quad \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}^+ + \mathcal{D}^-, \text{ where } \mathcal{D}^+ : H_m \rightarrow H_{m+1}, \mathcal{D}^- : H_m \rightarrow H_{m-1},$$

$$(2.4) \quad (\mathcal{D}^+)^* = -\mathcal{D}^-, (\mathcal{D}^-)^* = -\mathcal{D}^+,$$

$$(2.5) \quad [\mathcal{D}^+, \mathcal{D}^-] = \frac{-K}{2} \mathcal{D}_\theta, \text{ where } K \text{ is the scalar curvature function on } M.$$

Let  $M = \Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H}$  be as in § 1. It is of constant negative curvature  $K = -1$ , and all of the above applies. Following [5] we parametrize the unit tangent bundle to the upper half-plane,  $S\mathcal{H}$ , by local coordinates  $(z, \zeta)$ , where  $z \in \mathcal{H}, \zeta \in \mathbb{C}, |\zeta| = \text{Im } z$ . For any  $f(z) \in S_{2k}(\Gamma)$  the function  $f(z)\zeta^k$  is well-defined on  $SM$ . The scalar product on  $L^2(SM)$  is given by the formula

$$\langle F, G \rangle = \int_{SM} F \cdot \bar{G} dV d\theta,$$

where  $dV = \frac{dx dy}{y^2}$ ,  $\theta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \text{Arg } \zeta$ , and  $dV d\theta$  is the  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ -invariant volume on  $SM$ .

Obviously,  $f(z)\zeta^k \in L^2(SM)$ . The subspaces  $H_m$  in this case are defined as follows:  $H_m = \{G(z, \zeta) \in L^2(SM) \mid G(z, \zeta) = g(z)\zeta^k\}$ ; notice that  $g(z)$  is not supposed to be holomorphic. Let  $\iota_k: S_{2k}(\Gamma) \rightarrow L^2(SM)$  be given by the formula  $\iota_k f(z) = f(z)\zeta^k$ . Then  $\iota_k S_{2k}(\Gamma) = \{f(z)\zeta_k \in H_k, f(z) \text{ holomorphic}\}$ ; it is a finite dimensional subspace of  $H_k$ . The explicit formula for  $\mathcal{D}^-$  which can be found in [5], and holomorphy of cusp forms imply the following property:

$$(2.6) \quad \mathcal{D}^-(\iota_k S_{2k}(\Gamma)) = 0.$$

By [5], Proposition 3, Theorem 1 follows immediately from the following statement.

**Theorem 4.** For any  $\alpha > 0$  there exists a constant  $C_0(\alpha) > 0$  independent on  $k$  such that if  $f(z) \in S_{2k}(\Gamma)$  and  $\int_{[\gamma]} f(z)\zeta^k dt = 0$  for all closed geodesics  $[\gamma]$  of length  $\leq C_0(\alpha)k^{8+\alpha}$ , then  $f(z) = 0$ .

The first ingredient that goes into the proofs of Theorems 3 and 4 is the following result in dynamical systems.

**Theorem 5 (Finite Livshitz Theorem).** Let  $M$  be a compact negatively curved surface,  $X = SM$ ,  $\{\psi^t\}$  be the geodesic flow on  $X$ , and  $T > 0$ . Then there is  $\lambda_0 \leq 1$ , and for any  $\lambda$ ,  $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$  a constant  $C(\lambda)$  such that if  $f \in C^2(X)$ ,  $\|f\|_{C^2} = 1$ , and  $\int_{[o]} f dt = 0$  for all periodic orbits  $[o]$  of  $\{\psi^t\}$  of length  $\leq T$ , then there exist  $F, h \in C^{1+\lambda}(X)$  such that  $f = \mathcal{D}F + h$  with  $\|h\|_{C^1} \leq C(\lambda)T^{-\frac{\lambda}{3-\lambda}}$ .

**Remark 1.** The constant  $\lambda_0$  is computable in terms of characteristics of the geodesic flow  $\{\psi^t\}$  [6], and  $\lambda_0 = 1$  in the case of constant negative curvature  $-1$  (Theorem 4).

**Remark 2.** This theorem was proved in [6] for contact Anosov flows, which include geodesic flows on compact negatively curved surfaces as a particular case.

### 3. Regularity of cusp forms

In this section we shall prove the following general result about cusp forms.

Let  $\alpha = (\mathcal{D}_1, \mathcal{D}_2, \dots, \mathcal{D}_{|\alpha|})$  be a formal vector of length  $|\alpha| > 0$  with  $\mathcal{D}_i = \mathcal{D}^+, \mathcal{D}^-$  or  $\mathcal{D}_\theta$ . A partial derivative of order  $\alpha$  we define as the differential operator  $\mathcal{D}^\alpha = \mathcal{D}_1 \mathcal{D}_2 \dots \mathcal{D}_{|\alpha|}$ . For  $|\alpha| = 0$  we let  $\mathcal{D}^0 f = f$ . Let  $H^n(SM)$  be a subspace of  $L^2(SM)$  consisting of functions whose partial derivatives of order  $\alpha$  belong to  $L^2(SM)$  for all  $\alpha$  with  $|\alpha| \leq n$ . The space  $H^n(SM)$  is a Sobolev-like space for non-commuting differential operators  $\mathcal{D}^+, \mathcal{D}^-$  and  $\mathcal{D}_\theta$ . It can be equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_{H^n} = \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq n} \|\mathcal{D}^\alpha f\|.$$

Similarly, we define the space  $C^m(SM)$  of functions having continuous partial derivatives of order  $\alpha$  for all  $\alpha$  with  $|\alpha| \leq m$  with the norm

$$\|f\|_{C^m} = \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq m} \sup |\mathcal{D}^\alpha f|.$$

**Theorem 6 (Regularity Theorem).** *Let  $m$  be a positive integer. Then there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that for any  $F \in \iota_k S_{2k}(\Gamma)$ ,  $\|F\|_{C^m} \leq Ck^{m+2} \|F\|$ .*

*Proof.* We shall prove by induction that for  $n \geq 1$  there exists a constant  $C_1(n) > 0$  such that for any  $F \in \iota_k S_{2k}(\Gamma)$ ,  $\|F\|_{H^n} \leq C_1(n)k^n \|F\|$ . For  $n = 1$  we have

$$\|F\|_{H^1} = \|F\| + \|\mathcal{D}_\theta F\| + \|\mathcal{D}^+ F\| + \|\mathcal{D}^- F\|.$$

According to (2. 4)—(2. 6) we have  $\|\mathcal{D}_\theta F\| = k\|F\|$ ,  $\|\mathcal{D}^- F\| = 0$ ,

$$\|\mathcal{D}^+ F\|^2 = \langle \mathcal{D}^+ F, \mathcal{D}^+ F \rangle = -\langle F, \mathcal{D}^- \mathcal{D}^+ F \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle F, \mathcal{D}_\theta F \rangle - \langle F, \mathcal{D}^+ \mathcal{D}^- F \rangle = \frac{k}{2} \|F\|^2.$$

Hence  $\|\mathcal{D}^+ F\| = \sqrt{\frac{k}{2}} \|F\|$ , and the claim follows. Suppose that for  $n - 1$  our hypothesis is true. First we compute  $\|\mathcal{D}^{+n} F\| = \|\mathcal{D}^+ \mathcal{D}^+ \dots \mathcal{D}^+ F\|$  (all  $\mathcal{D}^+$ s) using (2. 4)—(2. 6).

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{D}^{+n} F\|^2 &= \langle \mathcal{D}^+ \mathcal{D}^+ \dots \mathcal{D}^+ F, \mathcal{D}^+ \mathcal{D}^+ \dots \mathcal{D}^+ F \rangle = -\langle \mathcal{D}^+ \dots \mathcal{D}^+ F, \mathcal{D}^- \mathcal{D}^+ \dots \mathcal{D}^+ F \rangle \\ &= \langle \mathcal{D}^+ \dots \mathcal{D}^+ F, \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}_\theta \mathcal{D}^+ \dots \mathcal{D}^+ F \rangle - \langle \mathcal{D}^+ \dots \mathcal{D}^+ F, \mathcal{D}^+ \mathcal{D}^- \dots \mathcal{D}^+ F \rangle \\ &= \langle \mathcal{D}^+ \dots \mathcal{D}^+ F, \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}_\theta \mathcal{D}^+ \dots \mathcal{D}^+ F \rangle + \langle \mathcal{D}^+ \dots \mathcal{D}^+ F, \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}^+ \mathcal{D}_\theta \mathcal{D}^+ \dots \mathcal{D}^+ F \rangle + \dots \\ &\quad + \langle \mathcal{D}^+ \dots \mathcal{D}^+ F, \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}^+ \dots \mathcal{D}^+ \mathcal{D}_\theta F \rangle = \frac{1}{4} n(2k + n - 1) \|\mathcal{D}^{+(n-1)} F\|^2 \\ &\leq C_2(n)k \cdot k^{2(n-1)} \|F\|^2 = C_2(n)k^{2n-1} \|F\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore  $\|\mathcal{D}^{+n} F\| \leq C_1(n)k^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \|F\|$ . Now let  $|\alpha| = n$  and  $\mathcal{D}^\alpha = \mathcal{D}_1 \mathcal{D}_2 \dots \mathcal{D}_n$ . If for some  $i = 1, \dots, n$   $\mathcal{D}_i = \mathcal{D}_\theta$ , we use (2. 2) and (2. 4) to conclude that

$$\|\mathcal{D}^\alpha F\| \leq \frac{1}{2} (k + n - 1) C_1(n-1)k^{n-1} \|F\| \leq C_1(n)k^n \|F\|.$$

Now suppose that for some  $i = 1, \dots, n$   $\mathcal{D}_i = \mathcal{D}^-$ . If  $i = n$ , by (2. 6)  $\mathcal{D}^\alpha F = 0$ . If  $i < n$ , we may assume that  $\mathcal{D}_j = \mathcal{D}^+$  for  $j > i$ . Then

$$\|\mathcal{D}^\alpha F\| \leq \frac{1}{2} (n-1) (k + n - 2) C_1(n-1)k^{n-1} \|F\| \leq C_1(n)k^n \|F\|.$$

Let us cover  $SM$  by a finite number of coordinate charts. Inside each chart the operators  $\mathcal{D}^+$ ,  $\mathcal{D}^-$  and  $\mathcal{D}_\theta$  are expressed as linear combinations of partial derivatives relative to this chart with smooth coefficients. Using the chain rule one sees that our  $C^m$  and  $H^n$  norms are locally equivalent to the standard  $C^m$  and Sobolev norms defined relative to each coordinate system. Therefore we can use the Sobolev embedding theorem [7] to conclude that for  $n > \frac{3}{2} + m$   $H^n \subset C^m$ , in particular,  $H^{m+2} \subset C^m$ , and therefore for some constant  $C_3 > 0$ ,  $\|F\|_{C^m} \leq C_3 \|F\|_{H^{m+2}}$ , and the theorem follows.  $\square$

#### 4. The proof of Theorem 4

Suppose  $f(z) \neq 0$ . We may assume then that  $\|f(z)\zeta^k\|_{C^2} = 1$ . Applying Theorem 5 to the function  $f(z)\zeta^k \in \iota_k S_{2k}(\Gamma)$ , we obtain for every  $\lambda$ ,  $0 < \lambda \leq 1$ , two functions  $F, h \in C^{1+\lambda}(SM)$  which satisfy the equation

$$(4.1) \quad \mathcal{D}F = f(z)\zeta^k + h.$$

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $f(z)\zeta^k \in \iota_k S_{2k}(\Gamma)$  and (4.1) holds. Then  $\|f(z)\zeta^k\| \leq 6(\text{vol } M)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|h\|_{C^1}$ .*

*Proof.* We decompose the functions  $F$  and  $h$  according to (2.1):  $F(z, \zeta) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_m$ ,  $h(z, \zeta) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} h_m$ ,  $F_m, h_m \in H_m$ . Then the equation (4.1) is equivalent to the following system

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}^- F_{k+1} + \mathcal{D}^+ F_{k-1} &= f(z)\zeta^k + h_k, \\ \mathcal{D}^- F_{j+1} + \mathcal{D}^+ F_{j-1} &= h_j \quad \text{for all } j \neq k. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\|\mathcal{D}^- F_{j+1}\|^2 = \|\mathcal{D}^+ F_{j-1} - h_j\|^2.$$

By [2], Lemma 3.4, we have

$$\|\mathcal{D}^+ F_{j+1}\|^2 = \frac{j+1}{2} \|F_{j+1}\|^2 + \|\mathcal{D}^- F_{j+1}\|^2 = \|\mathcal{D}^+ F_{j-1} - h_j\|^2 + \frac{j+1}{2} \|F_{j+1}\|^2.$$

Let  $j \geq k+1$ . Then  $j+1 > 0$ , and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{D}^+ F_{j+1}\|^2 &\geq \|\mathcal{D}^+ F_{j-1} - h_j\|^2, \\ \|\mathcal{D}^+ F_{j+1}\| &\geq \|\mathcal{D}^+ F_{j-1} - h_j\| \geq \|\mathcal{D}^+ F_{j-1}\| - \|h_j\|, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|\mathcal{D}^+ F_{j+2n-1}\| \geq \|\mathcal{D}^+ F_{j-1}\| - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \|h_{j+2i}\|.$$

Since  $\|\mathcal{D}^+ F_{j+2n-1}\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have for  $j-1 = k+1 > 0$

$$(4.3) \quad \|\mathcal{D}^- F_{k+1}\| \leq \|\mathcal{D}^+ F_{k+1}\| \leq \sum_{m \neq 0} \|h_m\|.$$

We shall prove that

$$(4.4) \quad \sum_{m \neq 0} \|h_m\| \leq \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3}} (\text{vol } M)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|h\|_{C^1}.$$

We recall that

$$\|h\|_{H^1} = \|h\| + \|\mathcal{D}_\theta h\| + \|\mathcal{D}^+ h\| + \|\mathcal{D}^- h\|.$$

Obviously,  $\|h\|_{H^1} \leq (\text{vol } M)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|h\|_{C^1}$ . By the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality

$$\sum_{m \neq 0} \|h_m\| \leq \left( \sum_{m \neq 0} m^2 \|h_m\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \left( \sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{1}{m^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3}} \left( \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} m^2 \|h_m\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

and since

$$\|h\|_{H^1} \geq \|\mathcal{D}_\theta h\| = \left\| \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} m h_m \right\| = \left( \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} m^2 \|h_m\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

(4.4) follows. The next inequality follows now from (4.3) and (4.4).

$$(4.5) \quad \|\mathcal{D}^- F_{k+1}\| \leq \|\mathcal{D}^+ F_{k+1}\| \leq \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3}} (\text{vol } M)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|h\|_{C^1}.$$

Let us denote  $\mathcal{D}^- F_{k+1} + h_k = g_k$  and rewrite the first equation of (4.2) as follows:

$$(4.6) \quad f(z)\zeta^k = \mathcal{D}^+ F_{k-1} + g_k.$$

We also have

$$(4.7) \quad \|h_k\| \leq \|h\| \leq \|h\|_{H^1} \leq (\text{vol } M)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|h\|_{C^1}.$$

Then using (4.5) and (4.7) we obtain

$$\|g_k\| \leq \|\mathcal{D}^- F_{k+1}\| + \|h_k\| \leq \left( \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}} + 1 \right) (\text{vol } M)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|h\|_{C^1}.$$

Taking  $\mathcal{D}^-$  from both sides of (4.6) and using that by (2.6)  $\mathcal{D}^- f(z)\zeta^k = 0$  we obtain

$$(4.8) \quad 0 = \mathcal{D}^- \mathcal{D}^+ F_{k-1} + \mathcal{D}^- g_k.$$

The next equality is obtained by taking the scalar product of both sides of (4.8) with  $F_{k-1}$  and using (2.4).

$$0 = \langle F_{k-1}, \mathcal{D}^- \mathcal{D}^+ F_{k-1} \rangle + \langle F_{k-1}, \mathcal{D}^- g_k \rangle = -\langle \mathcal{D}^+ F_{k-1}, \mathcal{D}^+ F_{k-1} \rangle - \langle \mathcal{D}^+ F_{k-1}, g_k \rangle.$$

Therefore

$$\|\mathcal{D}^+ F_{k-1}\|^2 = |\langle \mathcal{D}^+ F_{k-1}, g_k \rangle| \leq \|\mathcal{D}^+ F_{k-1}\| \cdot \|g_k\|.$$

If  $\|\mathcal{D}^+ F_{k-1}\| \neq 0$  we divide both sides by  $\|\mathcal{D}^+ F_{k-1}\|$  and obtain the estimate

$$(4.9) \quad \|\mathcal{D}^+ F_{k-1}\| \leq \|g_k\| \leq \left(\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3}} + 1\right) (\text{vol } M)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|h\|_{C^1},$$

which is also true for  $\|\mathcal{D}^+ F_{k-1}\| = 0$ . The desired inequality follows now from (4.5), (4.7) and (4.9):

$$\|f(z)\zeta^k\| \leq \|\mathcal{D}^+ F_{k-1}\| + \|\mathcal{D}^- F_{k+1}\| + \|h_k\| \leq 6(\text{vol } M)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|h\|_{C^1}. \quad \square$$

An application of Theorem 6 to the function  $f(z)\zeta^k$  for  $m=2$  gives us the following inequality

$$(4.10) \quad \|f(z)\zeta^k\| \geq \frac{C}{k^4} \|f(z)\zeta^k\|_{C^2} = \frac{C}{k^4}.$$

By the Finite Livshitz Theorem, for any  $T > 0$ , the cohomological equation can be solved in such a way that for any  $\lambda, 0 < \lambda < 1$ ,  $\|h\|_{C^1} \leq C(\lambda) T^{-\frac{\lambda}{3-\lambda}}$ , and hence for  $C_0 = 6(\text{vol } M)^{\frac{1}{2}}$

$$(4.11) \quad \|f(z)\zeta^k\| \leq C_0 \cdot C(\lambda)^{-\frac{\lambda}{3-\lambda}}.$$

Choose  $\lambda = \frac{12}{12 + \alpha}$  and let  $C'(\alpha) = \left(\frac{C_0 C(\lambda)}{C}\right)^{-\frac{3-\lambda}{\lambda}}$ , and  $C_0(\alpha) > C'(\alpha)$ . Then for

$$C_0(\alpha) k^{8+\alpha} \geq T > C'(\alpha) k^{8+\alpha}$$

we have

$$C_0 \cdot C(\lambda) T^{-\frac{\lambda}{3-\lambda}} < \frac{C}{k^4}.$$

The last inequality leads to a contradiction between (4.10) and (4.11). □

**Remark.** Let  $\Delta$  be the Laplace (Casimir) operator for  $S\mathcal{H}$  in  $L^2(SM)$  [1].  $\Delta$  operates as a scalar on each subspace  ${}_{l_k}S_{2k}(\Gamma) \subset H_k$ , i.e. for  $f \in {}_{l_k}S_{2k}(\Gamma)$

$$\Delta f = -\frac{k(k-2)}{4} f.$$

Then  $\|f\|_{C^2} \geq C' \|f\|_{H^2} \geq Ck^2 \|f\|$ , i.e.

$$(4.12) \quad \|f\| \leq \frac{1}{Ck^2}.$$

Therefore, an estimate

$$\|f\| \geq \frac{C}{k^2}$$

instead of (4. 10) would have given us the estimate  $T \leq C_0(\alpha)k^{4+\alpha}$  instead of  $C_0(\alpha)k^{8+\alpha}$ , and a better estimate cannot be obtained by our methods.

### 5. The proof of Theorem 3.

We notice first that  $L^2(M) = H_0$ . Applying Theorem 5 to the function  $f \in H_0$  we obtain the following system of equations:

$$(5. 1) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}^- F_1 + \mathcal{D}^+ F_{-1} &= f + h_0, \\ \mathcal{D}^- F_{j+1} + \mathcal{D}^+ F_{j-1} &= h_j \quad \text{for all } j \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $F, h \in C^{1+\lambda}(SM)$ ,  $F = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_m$ ,  $h(z, \zeta) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} h_m$ , where  $F_m, h_m \in H_m$ .

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $f \in H_0$  and (5. 1) holds. Then  $\|f\| \leq 5(\text{vol } M)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|h\|_{C^1}$ .*

*Proof.* Using the same argument as in Lemma 1 we obtain that

$$(5. 2) \quad \|\mathcal{D}^- F_1\| \leq \|\mathcal{D}^+ F_1\| \leq \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3}} (\text{vol } M)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|h\|_{C^1}$$

and

$$(5. 3) \quad \|h_0\| \leq (\text{vol } M)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|h\|_{C^1}.$$

In order to estimate  $\|\mathcal{D}^+ F_{-1}\|$  we need an argument different from holomorphy used in the proof of Lemma 1. Let  $a_0 = \min\left(-\frac{K}{2}\right)$ ,  $a_1 = \max\left(-\frac{K}{2}\right)$ . By [2], Lemma 3. 4, for  $j+1 < 0$  we have

$$\|\mathcal{D}^+ F_{j+1}\|^2 \leq a_0 \frac{j+1}{2} \|F_{j+1}\|^2 + \|\mathcal{D}^- F_{j+1}\|^2 = \|\mathcal{D}^+ F_{j-1} - h_j\|^2 + a_0 \frac{j+1}{2} \|F_{j+1}\|^2.$$

Then

$$\|\mathcal{D}^+ F_{j+1}\|^2 \leq \|\mathcal{D}^+ F_{j-1} - h_j\|^2 \leq \|\mathcal{D}^+ F_{j-1}\|^2 + \|h_j\|^2,$$

and

$$\|\mathcal{D}^+ F_{j+1}\| \leq \|\mathcal{D}^+ F_{j-2n-1}\| + \sum_{i=0}^n \|h_{j-2i}\|.$$



Since  $\|\mathcal{D}^+ F_{j-2n-1}\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have for  $j+1 = -1 < 0$

$$(5.4) \quad \|\mathcal{D}^+ F_{-1}\| \leq \sum_{m \neq 0} \|h_m\| \leq \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3}} (\text{vol } M)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|h\|_{C^1}.$$

Thus

$$\|f\| \leq \|\mathcal{D}^+ F_{-1}\| + \|\mathcal{D}^- F_{-1}\| + \|h_0\| \leq 5(\text{vol } M)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|h\|_{C^1}. \quad \square$$

By the Finite Livshitz Theorem, for any  $T > 0$ , the cohomological equation can be solved in such a way that for any  $\lambda$ ,  $0 < \lambda < 1$   $\|h\|_{C^1} \leq C(\lambda) T^{-\frac{\lambda}{3-\lambda}}$ , and hence

$$(5.5) \quad \|f\| \leq 5(\text{vol } M)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot C(\lambda) T^{-\frac{\lambda}{3-\lambda}}.$$

Choose  $\lambda = \frac{3}{3+\alpha}$  and let  $C'_1(\alpha) = 5^{2+\alpha} (\text{vol } M)^{\frac{2+\alpha}{2}} C(\lambda)^{2+\alpha}$ , and  $C_1(\alpha) > C'_1(\alpha)$ . Then for  $T = C'_1(\alpha) \varepsilon^{-2-\alpha}$  we have  $\|f\| \leq 5(\text{vol } M)^{\frac{1}{2}} C(\lambda) T^{-\frac{\lambda}{3-\lambda}} \leq \varepsilon$ .  $\square$

**Corollary.** Let  $C_L^2(M) = \{f \in C^2(M) \mid L\|f\| > \|f\|_{C^2}\}$ . For any  $\alpha > 0$  there exists

$T(\alpha, L)$  such that if  $f \in C_L^2(M)$  and  $\int_{[\gamma]} f dt = 0$  for all  $[\gamma]$  of length  $\leq T(\alpha, L)$ , then  $f = 0$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $f \neq 0$ . We may assume then that  $\|f\|_{C^2} = 1$ . Take  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{L}$ ,  $T(\alpha, L) = C_1(\alpha) L^{2+\alpha}$ . Applying Theorem 3 we obtain  $\|f\| \leq \varepsilon$ , which contradicts the inequality  $\|f\| > \varepsilon$ .

## 6. A concluding remark

Theorem 2 and Theorem 1(i) of [5] could be included as particular cases in the following conjecture.

**Conjecture.** Let  $f \in H_k$ , and  $f \in C^1(SM)$ . If the function  $f$  has zero integrals over all closed geodesics, then  $\|f\| = 0$ .

The corresponding generalizations of our "finite" results, Theorems 3 and 4, would be a positive solution to the following

**Problem.** Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , find an effective estimate  $T(\varepsilon)$  such that if a function  $f \in H_k$ ,  $f \in C^2(SM)$ , and  $\|f\|_{C^2} = 1$  has zero integrals over all closed geodesics of length  $\leq T(\varepsilon)$ , then  $\|f\| \leq \varepsilon$ .

**Acknowledgements.** I would like to thank Peter Sarnak for encouraging me to work on the problems discussed in this paper. I started to work on this paper during my visit to SFB 170 "Geometrie and Analysis" in Göttingen in the summer of 1986. I would like to thank SFB 170 for their support and the Stochastical Institute of the University of Göttingen for their hospitality during my visit. Finally, I would like to thank Don Zagier for his valuable remarks.

References

[1] S. Gelbart, Automorphic forms on Adele Groups, Ann. of Math. Studies **83**, Princeton 1975.

[2] V. Guillemin, D. Kazhdan, Some inverse spectral results for negatively curved 2-manifolds, Topology **19** (1980), 301—312.

[3] W. Goldman, J. Millson, Eichler-Shimura homology and the finite generation of cusp forms by hyperbolic Poincaré series, Duke Math. J. **53** (1986), 1081—1091.

[4] D. Hejhal, The Selberg trace formula for  $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ , vol. I, Lect. Notes in Math. **548**, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York 1976.

[5] S. Katok, Closed geodesics, periods and arithmetic of modular forms, Invent. Math. **80** (1985), 469—480.

[6] S. Katok, Approximate solutions of cohomological equations associated with some Anosov flows, Preprint.

[7] S. L. Sobolev, Applications of functional analysis in mathematical physics, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. of Math. Monographs **7**, 1963 (Original in Russian, 1950).

Department of Mathematics, University of California, Santa Cruz, CA 95064, USA

Eingegangen 26. April 1988